

INTERNATIONAL DESIGN COMPETITION - **LACUL MORII PARK, SECTOR 6,**  
ANNEX 1 of the Jury Report - Points table according to the award criteria

| <b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>  |  | <b>100</b>   | <b>101</b>   | <b>102</b>   | <b>103</b>   | <b>104</b>   | <b>105</b>   | <b>106</b>   | <b>107</b> | <b>108</b>   | <b>109</b>   | <b>110</b>  |
|---|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| A. Meeting functional architectural and landscape requirements<br>60% of the final evaluation (maximum 60 points) | A1. Landscaping criterion - maximum 20 points  | 19.00        | 5.00         | 5.00         | 16.00        | 10.00        | 14.00        | 12.00        | 8.00       | 8.00         | 2.00         | 8.00        |
|   | A2. Functional Criterion – maximum 20 points   | 19.00        | 5.00         | 5.00         | 19.00        | 4.00         | 10.00        | 8.00         | 7.00       | 6.00         | 2.00         | 10.00       |
|   | A3. Architectural-Volumetric Criterion - maximum 10 points   | 9.00         | 2.00         | 3.00         | 7.00         | 4.00         | 8.00         | 7.00         | 5.00       | 2.00         | 2.00         | 2.00        |
|   | A4. The financial criterion – maximum 5 points   | 1.01         | 1.04         | 1.03         | 1.01         | 1.01         | 1.01         | 1.02         | 5.00       | 1.01         | 1.04         | 1.10        |
|   | A5. Sustainability criterion - maximum 5 points  | 4.40         | 2.00         | 2.00         | 4.40         | 3.00         | 3.00         | 3.00         | 2.00       | 1.00         | 1.00         | 1.00        |
| B. Added architectural-artistic value<br>40% of the final evaluation (maximum 40 points)                          | B1. The character of the park following the proposed intervention and the general atmosphere of the intervention - maximum 20 points                                     | 19.00        | 7.00         | 2.00         | 16.00        | 5.00         | 17.00        | 17.00        | 5.00       | 8.00         | 2.00         | 6.00        |
|   | B2. The urban "attractor" force that the park will have following the intervention and the ability to adapt over time - maximum 10 points                                | 10.00        | 8.00         | 2.00         | 9.00         | 3.00         | 9.00         | 9.00         | 5.00       | 8.00         | 2.00         | 6.00        |
|   | B3. The quality and clarity of the representation of ideas in such a way as to illustrate the competitor's ability to implement the proposed project - maximum 10 points | 9.00         | 7.00         | 2.00         | 8.00         | 3.00         | 8.00         | 7.00         | 5.00       | 9.00         | 3.00         | 6.00        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>  |  | <b>90.41</b> | <b>37.04</b> | <b>22.03</b> | <b>80.41</b> | <b>33.01</b> | <b>70.01</b> | <b>64.02</b> | <b>42</b>  | <b>43.01</b> | <b>15.04</b> | <b>40.1</b> |
|   |  | <b>100</b>   | <b>101</b>   | <b>102</b>   | <b>103</b>   | <b>104</b>   | <b>105</b>   | <b>106</b>   | <b>107</b> | <b>108</b>   | <b>109</b>   | <b>110</b>  |

arch. Rudolf Gräf - President

arch. Radu Tudor Ponta

Competition Coordinator  
arch. Mirona Crăciun

10/30/2022

Ioana Mihaela Georgescu –  
biologist

arch. Răzvan Vasiu

Jury Secretary  
arch. Ilinca Pop

arch. Benjamin Khol

Professional Advisors:  
arch. urb. Sorin Vasile Manea

arch. Mihai Munteanu

arch. urb. Mihaela Hărmănescu

arch. Sorin Istudor